Hydrodynamic memory can boost enormously driven nonlinear diffusion and transport
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Hydrodynamic memory force or Basset force has been known since the 19th century. Its influence on Brownian motion remains, however, mostly unexplored. Here we investigate its role in nonlinear transport and diffusion within a paradigmatic model of tilted washboard potential. In this model, a giant enhancement of driven diffusion over its potential-free limit [Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 010602 (2001)] presents a well-established paradoxical phenomenon. In the overdamped limit, it occurs at a critical tilt of vanishing potential barriers. However, for weak damping, it takes place surprisingly at another critical tilt, where the potential barriers are clearly expressed. Recently we showed [Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 180603 (2019)] that Basset force could make such a diffusion enhancement enormously large. In this paper, we discover that even for moderately strong damping, where the overdamped theory works very well when the memory effects are negligible, substantial hydrodynamic memory unexpectedly makes a strong impact. First, the diffusion boost occurs at nonvanishing potential barriers and can be orders of magnitude larger. Second, transient anomalous diffusion regimes emerge over many time scales and potential periods. Third, particles’ mobility can also be dramatically enhanced, and a long transient supertransport regime emerges.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Non-Markovian hydrodynamic memory effects due to Boussinesq-Basset force emerging in the motion of macroscopic bodies with fluctuating velocity have been known since the 19th century [1–3] and still present an active field of research [4,5]. Their influence on Brownian motion [6–9] remains, however, largely unexplored, even though they are known to effect a famous algebraic tail in the velocity autocorrelation function (VACF) of Brownian particles [10,11], which was found first in molecular dynamic simulations by Alder and Wainwright [12]. Neither diffusion nor transport is, however, affected asymptotically in the absence of nonlinear force fields, even if a transient superdiffusion is engendered [13]. The existence and importance of such memory effects was experimentally manifested for free diffusion of colloidal particles [14] and, more recently, for particles trapped in parabolic potentials [15–17]. It raises the question of their general role and importance in nonlinear transport and diffusion [18], where the model of tilted washboard potentials serves as a paradigm in condensed matter physics and other fields [7–9]. Within this model, a giant enhancement of driven diffusion [19–21] over its potential-free limit is a well-established paradoxical phenomenon in the overdamped limit [8,22,23], where the inertial effects are entirely negligible. It occurs at a critical potential tilt of vanishing potential barriers [8,20,21] with applied constant force $f^{(3)} = 1$ in the units used in this paper. Inertial effects in nonlinear diffusion beyond thermal equilibrium are less studied [7,8] and have brought many surprises [24–28] lately. However, the influence of hydrodynamic memory effects on such a nonlinear driven diffusion and transport came only recently into the spotlight of attention [18]. For weak damping, a giant enhancement of diffusion occurs at another critical tilt, where the potential barriers are clearly expressed [26–28]. Then profound memory effects make such an enhancement enormously large and result in a substantial prolongation of a transient superdiffusion regime [18].

To understand the mechanism of a resonancelike enhancement of diffusion in the corresponding weakly damped regime, the picture of motion bistability originally developed by Risken and collaborators [7,29] in the absence of hydrodynamic memory becomes crucially important. There exists a critical friction value $\gamma_0^{(c)} \approx 1.193$ [7] (in scaled units used below) such that for a smaller friction at zero temperature there emerges a friction-dependent critical tilt value $f^{(3)}_c$ (our notations are different from those used in Ref. [7]) such that for tilting forces between two critical values, $f^{(3)}_c < f < f^{(1)}_c$ the motion is bistable at zero temperature with thermal fluctuations neglected. An excellent account of this bistability is given in Ref. [30] in the context of the stochastic resonance problem. Depending on the starting point in the phase space, any particle will either end in one of potential wells due to frictional losses or run indefinitely, when losses are compensated by the energy delivered from the external field. In this bistable regime and at a finite temperature $T$, velocity of particles exhibits bistable fluctuations for a sufficiently small friction $\gamma_0 < \gamma_0^{(c)}$. They can be modeled and well understood as thermally activated fluctuations in a bistable velocity pseudopotential $V(v) = -k_B T \ln[P(v)]$, where $P(v)$ is velocity distribution and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant [27,28,31]. One potential minimum corresponds to $v_1 = 0$ (trapped particles), and another one to $v_2 = f/\gamma_0$ (running particles, units are scaled). Near minima, $P(v)$ is approximately Gaussian (Maxwell distribution) with a thermal velocity width [27,31].
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The giant enhancement of diffusion occurs at the condition of equal pseudopotential minima or equal probabilities [27,28] for the particle to be trapped or run in an intermittent Lévy walk-like fashion [24,32–34]. Such a critical condition can also be obtained in a generic model of velocity-bistable active diffusion [35]. For underdamped dynamics in washboard potential, the diffusion maximum occurs at some $f^{(1)} < f^{(2)} < f^{(3)}$ satisfying this condition.

Upon taking hydrodynamic memory influence for a small $\gamma_0$ into account, this basic picture remains approximately valid upon some essential modifications [18]. First, the diffusion enhancement becomes strongly amplified and sharpened (suppressed outside of the narrow maximum region). Second, the distribution of particle velocities in the running state (near its maximum) is broader than Maxwellian. This effect can be characterized either by enhanced kinetic temperature in the running state or by a smaller effective mass of the particle in this state. The latter interpretation is preferred because it is convenient to characterize the whole velocity distribution by a kinetic temperature measured by its width [18,26,36–38].

Particles become kinetically hot in the bistable regime. Third, an effective friction experienced by particles becomes enlarged by the hydrodynamic memory friction. This leads to an effective suppression of the asymptotically normal transport in comparison with the memoryless case. However, transient regime of looking anomalously fast transport, $(\delta x(t)) \sim t^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha > 1$ can be prolonged enormously. Likewise, transient superdiffusion, $(\delta x(t)) \sim t^{\beta}$, with $\beta > 1$ can also be drastically prolonged in time. It is due to the changed kinetics of the transitions between two macrostates of a velocity Lévy walk, which becomes anomalously slow, stretched-exponential, instead of exponential in the memoryless case—the fourth profound feature introduced by hydrodynamic memory. Furthermore, like in the case of asymptotically superdiffusive transport [37–39], long hyperdiffusive regimes, $\kappa_d > 2$, are present due to a transiently growing in time kinetic temperature. In the memoryless case, such regimes are also present [26]. However, they are much shorter.

Now, profound questions emerge: How are these interesting features introduced by hydrodynamic memory modified beyond the $\gamma_0 < \gamma_0^{(c)}$ regime studied in Ref. [18]? Is hydrodynamic memory still important for $\gamma_0$ equal to and even larger than Risken’s $\gamma_0^{(c)}$, when dynamics becomes overdamped? This question is very important because Brownian motion in fluids is typically overdamped. For example, in experimental works [15,17] colloidal particles are overdamped and, nevertheless, exhibit resonances caused by the hydrodynamic memory. Next, does hydrodynamic memory always increase an effective friction or can it also make that smaller, e.g. for a sufficiently large $\gamma_0$, and how large is large? For example, some results in recent Ref. [40] for transport in a critically tilted piecewise linear periodic potential at $T = 0$ imply that this can be the case. Next, does a picture of bistable velocity fluctuations remain valid for sufficiently large $\gamma_0$, which is yet smaller than $\gamma_0^{(c)}$? Actually, some results presented in the Supplemental Material [41] of Ref. [18] imply “no” already for $\gamma_0$ larger than about 0.25, which was confirmed in a recent detailed study [42]. This feature means that the running velocity state is not necessarily monostable unless $\gamma_0$ is small enough. The numerical simulations reveal that already for $\gamma_0 = 0.3$, the velocity distribution can be trimodal; see Fig. 7(a) in Ref. [41] and, especially, panel (c) therein, for $\gamma_0 = 0.7$, where the running state consists, in fact, of two velocity substates with $P(v)$ maxima at $v_1^{(1)}$ and $v_2^{(2)}$ such that $v_1^{(1)} < v_2 = f/\gamma_0 < v_2^{(2)}$. Moreover, $v_2$ corresponds to the minimum $(\gamma)$ and not the maximum of $P(v)$, as a bistable picture of $V(v)$ [26,27], valid only for sufficiently small $\gamma_0$ [18,42], can misleadingly imply. For a critical tilt $f = 1$ in Fig. 7(d) in Ref. [41], the minimum at $v = 0$ (trapped state) disappears, and the running state remains bistable. It means that velocity fluctuations can remain bistable even for an overcritical tilt, when the trapped states are absent. Hence, the case of nearly overdamped dynamics is not trivial, even if to neglect crucial memory effects.

Below we show that even for a moderately strong damping, within a seemingly overdamped regime, hydrodynamic memory unexpectedly makes a very profound impact. As a general implication, it means that hydrodynamic memory effects, whose neglect might earlier seem intuitively to be well justified, can nevertheless profoundly affect nonlinear transport and diffusion. They should not be generally ad hoc neglected in further research. Theory of nonlinear Brownian motion in fluids should be rethought and revisited from this angle of view.

II. MODEL AND THEORY

We consider one-dimensional transport and diffusion of spherical Brownian particles with radius $R$ and mass $m = 4\pi \rho R^3/3$ ($\rho$ is the particles’ mass density) in a fluid with kinematic viscosity $\nu$ and density $\rho_f$ governed by a fractional Langevin equation (FLE) [8,13,15,38,43,44]

$$m^* \ddot{x}(t) + \eta_0 \dot{x}(t) + \eta_{\alpha-\infty} D^\alpha_1 x(t) = f(x) + \xi_1(t) + \xi_2(t) \quad (1)$$

in a periodic force field

$$f(x) = -f_c^{(1)} \sin(x/x_0) + f \quad (2)$$

with amplitude $f_c^{(1)} = U_0/x_0$. Here $U_0$ is the amplitude of the corresponding washboard potential with period $L = 2\pi x_0$, which is biased by a constant driving force $f$. Trapped states exist only below the critical value $f_c^{(1)}$, $f < f_c^{(1)}$. In Eq. (1), $m^* = m + 2\pi \rho f R^3/3$ is a fluid-renormalized mass of a Brownian particle [31,114,45], $\eta_0 = 6\pi \eta R f \nu / \mu$ is Stokes viscous friction, $\eta_{\alpha-\infty} D^\alpha_1 v(t) := \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' v(t') (t - t')^{\alpha-1}$, with $\alpha = 3/2$, is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative [13,46], and $\eta_{\alpha} = \eta_0 \sqrt{\pi \alpha}$ is a fractional friction coefficient. The corresponding memory term in the FLE reflects hydrodynamic memory, which is characterized by a relaxation timescale $\tau_r = R^2 / \mu$ entering $\eta_{\alpha}$. It presents the Boussinesq-Basset force, which is derived within similar approximations as the Stokes friction, however, for a particle with fluctuating velocity (nonsteady Stokes flow) [1–3]. Roughly speaking, $\tau_r$ is a characteristic time for a backflow induced by the body motion to diffuse over its size. Inertial effects in the particle’s dynamics are also not always negligible. The characteristic velocity relaxation time, $\tau_v = m^*/\eta_0$ (obtained in neglecting the memory effects), is $\tau_v = \tau_r (2\rho/\rho_f + 1)/9$, in terms of $\tau_r$ and the ratio $\rho/\rho_f$ of
the body and fluid densities. For example, in the case of a neutrally buoyant particle, \( \rho_f = \rho_f = \tau_x = \tau_x = 3/3 \), and \( \tau_v = \tau_v \) at \( \rho = 4 \rho_f \). It means that unless the Brownian particle is very heavy with respect to fluid, hydrodynamic memory is not negligible once the particle’s inertia becomes important, especially given a slow algebraic character of this memory decay. We recast the Boussinesq-Basset force in the form of memory friction \([13,18,38,44]\), \( f_f \rightarrow \sim \eta(t - t') \delta(t') dt' \), with a singular memory kernel \( \eta(t) \) corresponding to the operator of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. For \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \), \( \eta(t > 0) = -\eta_0 t^{-\alpha} / \Gamma(1 - \alpha) < 0 \); however, \( f_f \sim \eta(t') dt' \sim t^{1-\alpha} > 0 \) is always positive and tends to zero with \( t \to \infty \). This term is absent for \( v = \hat{v} = \text{const} \). However, it is always present in the realm of Brownian particles, where it must be complemented by the corresponding unbiased thermal Gaussian kernel \( \eta_{\alpha} < \alpha < \infty \), and \( t < \infty \) without exponential dependence.

Nonlinear diffusion is capable of further surprises \([18]\). For \( \gamma_0 \) less than a critical value \( \gamma_0(c) \approx 1.193 \), there exists a critical force \( f_f(c) < f_f(1) \) such that for \( f_f < f_f(1) \), any trajectory in the phase space will end eventually at \( T = 0 \) in one of the potential wells. However, for \( f_f(3) \approx f_f(1) \), the deterministic running solutions emerge and coexist with the trapped ones, whereas for \( f_f > f_f(1) \), only the running solutions remain. This critical force value depends on \( \gamma_0 \). For a small friction, \( f_f(3) \approx 4 \gamma_0/\tau ; \) see Fig. 11.26 in Ref. \([7]\) and the red double-dash-dotted line in Fig. 1(a) of this paper. Numerical \( f_f(3)(\gamma_0) \) is shown by a full black line with diamond symbols in this figure. It increases monotonously with \( \gamma_0 \) and \( f_f(3) = f_f(1) \) at \( \gamma_0 = \gamma_0(c) \).

How does hydrodynamic memory affect the Risken’s phase diagram? We answer first this important question. Profound memory effects for \( \rho = \rho_f \) (neutrally buoyant condition) and \( \rho = \rho_f/2 \) (a relatively lighter than fluid particle) used mostly in the numerical simulations below profoundly change the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a), where the limiting case of \( \rho \to 0 \) (maximal memory effects) also is depicted. In all three cases, numerical data are well approximated by a stretched-exponential dependence \( f_f(3) \approx 1 - \exp[ -(\rho_0/\beta)^{1/3}] \), with \( 0 < a < 1 \), and \( b > 0 \) shown in the plot by a dashed indigo line \( (\rho = \rho_f) \), full blue line \( (\rho = \rho_f/2) \), and dash-dotted green line \( (\rho = 0) \), which come through the corresponding different symbols depicting the numerical results. For \( \gamma_0 \ll b \) this yields \( f_f(3) \sim \gamma_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \) instead of \( f_f(3) \sim \gamma_0 \) in the memory-less case. It presents the first important result of this paper. This dramatic change means the following: For \( \gamma_0 \) smaller than about \( \gamma_0 = 0.5 \) shown by the vertical line in Fig. 1(a), ever increasing with lowering \( \rho / \rho_f \) memory effects make an effective friction larger. However, for \( \gamma_0 \gtrsim 0.5 \), the opposite tendency is seen in Fig. 1(a). In particular, even for \( \gamma_0 \) essentially larger than \( \gamma_0(c) \), the bistability region extends dramatically; cf. Fig. 1(b). It means that even for \( \gamma_0 > \gamma_0(c) \) the memory correlations can induce running solutions at \( T = 0 \) and \( f_f(3) < f_f(1) \), where, otherwise, all Brownian particles would remain asymptotically trapped forever. Then the memory makes an effective friction smaller. This result agrees with the conclusions in Ref. \([40]\) that hydrodynamic memory can induce transport at \( T = 0 \) in a situation where it would be absent otherwise, which was obtained therein for a critically tilted piecewise linear potential. In the studied case, pertinent tilts can be essentially smaller than the critical value \( f_f(1) \). One should emphasize that we are dealing here with a strongly driven transport. It is the second result of paramount importance, which provides a key for understanding our numerical results below.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Influence of memory effects on bistability phase diagram

As mentioned in the Introduction, the fundamental feature of driven underdamped dynamics in a tilted washboard potential in the absence of memory effects is its bistability \([7]\). Namely, for \( \gamma_0 \) less than a critical value \( \gamma_0(c) \approx 1.193 \), there exists a critical force \( f_f(c) < f_f(1) \) such that for \( f_f < f_f(1) \), any trajectory in the phase space will end eventually at \( T = 0 \) in one of the potential wells. However, for \( f_f(3) \approx f_f(1) \), the deterministic running solutions emerge and coexist with the trapped ones, whereas for \( f_f > f_f(1) \), only the running solutions remain. This critical force value depends on \( \gamma_0 \). For a small friction, \( f_f(3) \approx 4 \gamma_0/\tau ; \) see Fig. 11.26 in Ref. \([7]\) and the red double-dash-dotted line in Fig. 1(a) of this paper. Numerical \( f_f(3)(\gamma_0) \) is shown by a full black line with diamond symbols in this figure. It increases monotonously with \( \gamma_0 \) and \( f_f(3) = f_f(1) \) at \( \gamma_0 = \gamma_0(c) \).

How does hydrodynamic memory affect the Risken’s phase diagram? We answer first this important question. Profound memory effects for \( \rho = \rho_f \) (neutrally buoyant condition) and \( \rho = \rho_f/2 \) (a relatively lighter than fluid particle) used mostly in the numerical simulations below profoundly change the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a), where the limiting case of \( \rho \to 0 \) (maximal memory effects) also is depicted. In all three cases, numerical data are well approximated by a stretched-exponential dependence \( f_f(3) \approx 1 - \exp[-(\rho_0/\beta)^{1/3}] \), with \( 0 < a < 1 \), and \( b > 0 \) shown in the plot by a dashed indigo line \( (\rho = \rho_f) \), full blue line \( (\rho = \rho_f/2) \), and dash-dotted green line \( (\rho = 0) \), which come through the corresponding different symbols depicting the numerical results. For \( \gamma_0 \ll b \) this yields \( f_f(3) \sim \gamma_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \) instead of \( f_f(3) \sim \gamma_0 \) in the memory-less case. It presents the first important result of this paper. This dramatic change means the following: For \( \gamma_0 \) smaller than about \( \gamma_0 = 0.5 \) shown by the vertical line in Fig. 1(a), ever increasing with lowering \( \rho / \rho_f \) memory effects make an effective friction larger. However, for \( \gamma_0 \gtrsim 0.5 \), the opposite tendency is seen in Fig. 1(a). In particular, even for \( \gamma_0 \) essentially larger than \( \gamma_0(c) \), the bistability region extends dramatically; cf. Fig. 1(b). It means that even for \( \gamma_0 > \gamma_0(c) \) the memory correlations can induce running solutions at \( T = 0 \) and \( f_f(3) < f_f(1) \), where, otherwise, all Brownian particles would remain asymptotically trapped forever. Then the memory makes an effective friction smaller. This result agrees with the conclusions in Ref. \([40]\) that hydrodynamic memory can induce transport at \( T = 0 \) in a situation where it would be absent otherwise, which was obtained therein for a critically tilted piecewise linear potential. In the studied case, pertinent tilts can be essentially smaller than the critical value \( f_f(1) \). One should emphasize that we are dealing here with a strongly driven transport. It is the second result of paramount importance, which provides a key for understanding our numerical results below.
B. Influence of memory on normal diffusion in unbiased potential

Next, we expect that the dependence of the normal diffusion coefficient on $\gamma_0$ will also be dramatically changed in a periodic potential, and we will check this hypothesis. Indeed, in the case of unbiased diffusion, it is well known that for strong friction, $\gamma_0 \gg 1$, $D \propto 1/\gamma_0$, and for the considered potential simple and well-known Lifson-Jackson result $D \equiv D_0/I_0^2(U_0/k_BT)$ holds [7,9,50]. Here $I_0(x)$ is a modified Bessel function. This inverse friction proportionality holds at any $k_BT/U_0$. Less known is that this scaling, $D \propto 1/\gamma_0$, is valid also for weak friction, $\gamma_0 \ll 1$; however, in the limit of large barriers $U_0 \gg k_BT$ only [7]. In the memoryless case, our numerics (depicted by black dashed line with diamonds) perfectly agree with the Lifson-Jackson result for $\gamma_0 \geq 1$; see the dash-dotted red line in Fig. 2. Hydrodynamic memory, however, remarkably changes this result even for strong friction in the range $2 < \gamma_0 < 40$. Namely, instead of the inverse friction dependence, our numerics are more consistent with $D \propto 1/\gamma_0^{0.878}$, even for rather strong friction in the mentioned range; see the full blue line with circles and triangles in this figure. For much larger friction, the Lifson-Jackson result remains valid, however, even in the limiting case $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, for a small friction $\gamma_0 < 1$, $D \propto 1/\gamma_0^2$ in Fig. 2, with $\alpha \approx 0.413$ for $\rho = \rho_f$ (dashed indigo line coming through triangles) and $\alpha \approx 0.392$ for $\rho = \rho_f/2$ (full blue line coming through circles), which is very different from $\alpha \approx 0.83$ in the case of normal diffusion (full black line coming through diamonds). The latter one deviates from the high-barrier theoretical value $\alpha = 1$ because $k_BT/U_0$ is not small enough [7], only 0.5. The discovered nontrivial dependencies of $D$ on $\gamma_0$ due to the memory effects present the third important result of this work. It should be mentioned also that in the case of such equilibrium unbiased diffusion hydrodynamic memory always increases an effective friction because it makes the diffusion coefficient in Fig. 2 smaller at all $\gamma_0$.

C. Enormous boost of diffusion acceleration due to the memory effects

Furthermore, we study an enormous acceleration of diffusion due to the memory effects in the onset of an overdamped regime, $\gamma_0 = 2$, where the memoryless diffusion enhancement is already nicely described by the results of the overdamped theory [cf. Eq. (8) in Ref. [20]]; see the corresponding comparison in our Fig. 4(a). It is one of the greatest surprises of this work, which extends and complements recent findings in Ref. [18]. For $\gamma_0 = 2$ and $\rho = \rho_f/2$, $f_c^{(3)} \approx 0.920$ in Fig. 1. The giant enhancement of diffusion is hence for us to expect for some critical value $f_c^{(2)}$ such that $f_c^{(3)} < f_c^{(2)} < f_c^{(1)}$. For a small $\gamma_0$, this $f_c^{(2)}$ corresponds to the case where the...
probabilities of trapped and running states become roughly equal [18,27,28]. At odds with intuition based on our earlier results for $\gamma_0 = 0.1$ in Ref. [18], this resonance-like enhancement occurs for $\gamma_0$ essentially exceeding $\gamma_0^{(c)}$ of the Markovian case! Moreover, now it does not correspond to the situation of equal probabilities of trapped and running states; see below. The physics of this enhancement is hence different. Some of the results on non-Markovian diffusion for $\gamma_0 = 2$, $\rho = \rho_f/2$, and $T = 0.01$ are shown in Fig. 3(a). Let us compare them with the results on the matching Markovian diffusion in Fig. 3(b).

First, in the Markovian case the normal diffusion regime is already well established on the scale exceeding the lattice period $2\pi$, $\langle \delta x^2(t) \rangle > 4\pi^2$, for all values of $f$ in this plot. Quite on the contrary, a very long regime of transient superdiffusion emerges in Fig. 3(a), which extends on enormous many potential periods, when $f$ becomes close to the resonance-like value $f^{(2)}_c \approx 0.937$ (for $\rho = \rho_f/2$); see Fig. 4(a). To derive the results for the asymptotic value $D/D_0$ from the numerical data in Fig. 3, we fit the $\langle \delta x^2(t) \rangle$ dependence by $2D(t-t_{ad})$, where $t_{ad}$ is some offset time required to account for a very long transient period of anomalous diffusion. This can be neglected only for $Dt \gg Dt_{ad}$ while deriving $D/D_0$ from numerics. For example, for a subresonance value $f = 0.93 < f^{(2)}_c$ in Fig. 3(a), the asymptotic normal diffusion regime is already well established. However, for the resonance value $f = f^{(2)}_c = 0.937$, it is not; see the results depicted with circles in the inset of Fig. 3(a) and compare with the case $f = 0.936$ (triangles up) to realize why one needs $t_{ad}$. The corresponding value of $D/D_0$ in Fig. 4(a) is an estimate from below. The fantastic thousandfold enhancement $D/D_0$ by about 18 944 times at peak, over the result neglecting the memory effects, the enhancement factor of which is “only” about 18.2 times, is, in fact, even larger. However, we cannot quantify it better because the proper normal diffusion limit is not reachable in simulations. With diminishing memory effects along with increasing $\rho/\rho_f$, this enhancement weakens; see the inset in Fig. 4(a) for the neutrally buoyant case $\rho = \rho_f$ with $\gamma_0 = \sqrt{5}$ at $\gamma_0 = 2$. Nevertheless, it is still impressively strong. In this case [see inset in Fig. 4(a)], $f^{(2)}_c \approx 0.951$ with $D/D_0 \approx 2590$ at maximum. Generally, with diminishing $\gamma_0$ at fixed $\gamma_0 > 0_0^{(c)}$, $f^{(2)}_c$ moves towards $f^{(1)}_c$ and $D$ diminishes gradually to its memoryless value.

Next, at $f = 0.936$ and $f = 0.937$ in Fig. 3(a), diffusion is anomalously fast, even near the end simulation. The inset therein makes this clear for $f = 0.936$, where $\langle \delta x^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{\alpha}$ with $\kappa_d = 1.243$, which is a fit alternative to $2D(t-t_{ad})$ dependence that is not shown for this $f$ value. It is a striking result: Even for $\gamma_0 = 2$, hydrodynamic memory effects can turn normal diffusion into superdiffusion over many time decades corresponding to thousands of lattice periods! This long-lasting transient superdiffusion is explained by kinetic heating, as Fig. 6 in Appendix C makes clear. Indeed, the kinetic temperature $T_k(t) = m^2 \langle \delta v^2(t) \rangle/k_B$ [26,36–38] defined by the the variance of the velocity distribution $P(v)$ increases dramatically, i.e., Brownian particles become kinetically hot, with $T_k$ substantially exceeding $T$ of the surrounding fluid. The velocity distribution in Appendix C is also not Maxwellian, bimodal in the regime of enhanced diffusion. However, differently from the case of small $\gamma_0$ [18,27,28], for the considered large $\gamma_0$, $f^{(2)}_c$ does not correspond, even approximately, to the situation where the local maximum of $P(v)$, which corresponds to the running state, compares in amplitude with the local maximum corresponding to the
Due to the memory effects is dramatic. Both far below and far above $f = \rho$ memoryless case. The inset helps to resolve dramatic changes around the corresponding critical values.

It is very different from the matching case, where the mobility regime of particles can become kinetically very hot also in the absence of memory effects at $\gamma_0 = 2$; see Fig. 6(b) below. It seems to be first in contradiction with the fact that the overdamped theory remarkably well describes the numerical results on $D/D_0$ enhancement in Fig. 4(a). We defer a detailed explanation of this puzzle somewhere else. In short, due to inertial effects, there emerge very fast oscillations in the nonequilibrium but driven dynamics memoryless case $D/\gamma_0 = 1$, even for sufficiently large $\gamma_0$, which correspond to $\rho = \rho_f$, and those fast oscillations reduce $D$ in spite of still growing (for $f > 1$) diffuse ever slower, and $D/D_0$ drops for $f > 1$. This very striking nonequilibrium phenomenon emerging due to inertial effects in nonlinear memoryless driven dynamics for a sufficiently large, but not too large, friction $\gamma_0$ has been entirely overlooked thus far, to our best knowledge. It will be studied in detail somewhere else. The inertial effects in the Brownian motion are highly nontrivial and have been insuf-
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FIG. 4. Diffusion coefficient and nonlinear mobility. (a, c) Enhancement of driven diffusion over the free-diffusion limit depending on the applied force $f$ for (a) $T = 0.01$ and (c) $T = 0.1$, $\gamma_0 = 2$ and three values of $\gamma_0$ shown. $\gamma_0 = 3$ corresponds to $\rho = \rho_f/2$. The inset resolves a sharp peak for this value in the main plot for a shorter range of $f$ and depicts for comparison the results for $\gamma_0 = \sqrt{6}$, which correspond to $\rho = \rho_f$. The red dashed line in the main plots depicts the analytical result of overdamped theory given by Eq. (8) in Ref. [20]. It remarkably agrees with the memoryless result of simulations, which includes, however, the inertial effects completely. (b, d) Nonlinear mobility $\kappa$ vs driving force $f$ for (b) $T = 0.01$ and (d) $T = 0.1$, $\gamma_0 = 2$ and two values of $\gamma_0$ corresponding to $\rho = \rho_f/2$ and $\rho = \rho_f$, as well as for the memoryless case. The inset helps to resolve dramatic changes around the corresponding critical values $f_c^{(2)}$, where the increase of mobility due to the memory effects is dramatic. Both far below and far above $f_c^{(2)}$ the memory-induced effects in $\kappa$ are negligible. For $f = 2$, the linear mobility regime of $\kappa_0 = 1/\eta_0$ is already almost achieved.

trapped states. Moreover, for $f = f_c^{(2)} > f_c^{(3)}$, there are actually two running substates (within a two-state approximation), as $P(v)$ in Fig. 7 below reveals. Its first local maximum does not correspond to $v = 0$. Moreover, the integral $\int_0^{\infty} P(v) dv$, where $v_k$ is the velocity value, which separates two running substates, indicates that nearly 90% of all particles belong to the first running substate. It is very different from the case of low friction. In the latter case, the peak of $D/D_0$ does correspond to the situation, where, roughly speaking, one-half of the particles are temporarily trapped, while another one-half run [27,28], also in the presence of memory effects [18]. Hence, for a sufficiently large $\gamma_0$, the mechanism of a huge enhancement due to memory effects differs from one established for small $\gamma_0$ [18]. Nevertheless, for strong memory effects, $f_c^{(2)}$ seems to still roughly correspond to the maximum of stationary nonequilibrium $T_k^{(st)}$ versus $f$, like for a small $\gamma_0$ [18], as we detail in Appendix C.

It is very different from the matching case, where the memory effects are neglected. Indeed, for the considered $\gamma_0$ and in the memoryless case, the maximum of $\gamma$ has nothing in common with the maximum of $T_k^{(st)}$, cf. Appendix C. The particles can become kinetically very hot also in the absence of memory effects at $\gamma_0 = 2$; see Fig. 6(b) below. It seems to be first in contradiction with the fact that the overdamped theory remarkably well describes the numerical results on $D/D_0$ enhancement in Fig. 4(a). We defer a detailed explanation of this puzzle somewhere else. In short, due to inertial effects, there emerge very fast oscillations in the nonequilibrium but stationary VACF for $f > 1$, even for sufficiently large $\gamma_0 \sim 2–10$. Following the Green-Kubo relation [11], the diffusion coefficient is integral of VACF, and those fast oscillations reduce $D$ in spite of still growing (for $f > 1$) diffuse ever slower, and $D/D_0$ drops for $f > 1$. This very striking nonequilibrium phenomenon emerging due to inertial effects in nonlinear memoryless driven dynamics for a sufficiently large, but not too large, friction $\gamma_0$ has been entirely overlooked thus far, to our best knowledge. It will be studied in detail somewhere else. The inertial effects in the Brownian motion are highly nontrivial and have been insuf-
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Diffusion at higher temperature

With the increase of temperature \( T \), the influence of memory effects on the diffusion enhancement becomes smaller. Nevertheless, for \( T = 0.1 \) in Fig. 4(c) it is still manifestly present. First, the diffusion maximum occurs at some \( f_c^{(2)} > 1 \). Second, at its maximum the enhancement is three to four times stronger than in the memoryless case, which also is pretty well described by the analytical result of the overdamped theory. Indeed, for \( \rho = \rho_f/2 \) in Fig. 4(c), \( f_c^{(2)} = 0.92 \). Notice that it equals \( f_c^{(3)} \) in this case and \( D/D_0 \approx 15.87 \) at maximum. It should be compared with the maximum \( D/D_0 \approx 4.32 \) at \( f = 1 \) in the memoryless case. The memory-induced boost is by about 3.67 times for \( \rho = \rho_f \) therein, \( f_c^{(2)} \approx 0.93 \) with maximal \( D/D_0 \approx 12.57 \). The increase is still impressive, nearly 2.91 times, and the effect is not small.

D. Hydrodynamic memory boosts nonlinear mobility in a subcritical tilt region

Finally, we study the influence of memory effects on the particles’ nonlinear mobility \( \kappa(f) = v(f)/f \), where \( v \) is the mean particles velocity \( v = \langle \delta x(t) \rangle / t \) defined at the last half time decade of simulations by using a \( v(t - t_{off}) \) fit to numerical \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \). The mean displacement of particles is shown in Fig. 5(a), for the case with strong memory, \( \rho = \rho_f/2 \), \( T = 0.01 \), \( \gamma_0 = 2 \) and several values of force in a narrow interval, \( f = 0.93-0.94 \), around \( f_c^{(2)} = 0.937 \). It should be compared with Fig. 5(b), where the matching memoryless case, \( \gamma_0 = 0 \), is depicted. First, it is worth noting that in the limit \( t \rightarrow 0 \), the initial transport is universally ballistic, \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \sim f t^{1/2} / (m^*) = 1 \). For a very brief initial time period, the periodic potential does not matter. The particles are prepared at \( x = 0 \), which is not a mechanically equilibrium state in the biased case, and they move first accelerating towards nearest potential minimum. Then they start to equilibrate and \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \) temporarily saturates. Notice also that the range of \( f \) variation in this figure is so small that all the curves practically coincide during the equilibration process with the potential well. Next, the particles start to escape out of the potential well and travel over many potential periods being driven by \( f \) and become occasionally trapped in other potential wells during this process and released (notice that \( f \) is rather close to \( f_c^{(3)} = 1 \) from below). In the memoryless case, transport is practically normal, \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \propto v(t - t_{off}) \) (where \( t_{off} \) is some offset time) once \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \) exceeds \( 2\pi \) the potential period. Also, in the case with memory, but for subcritical \( f = 0.930 \) shown by red line with diamonds in Fig. 5(a), the normal transport regime is established relatively fast. However, for \( f \) closer to \( f_c^{(2)} \), a dramatic enhancement of transport occurs. Notice that then transport becomes very sensitive to tiny \( f \) variations.
(compare with the initial regime for \( t < 100! \)). Remarkably, a very long transient supertransport regime \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \propto t^\kappa \) with \( \kappa \approx 1.43 \) emerges, which can cover about 1000 potential periods. Of course, even in this regime, the transport is slower in absolute terms than in the absence of hindering periodic potential. However, hydrodynamic memory greatly accelerates transport in the periodic potential near-to-critically tilted; i.e., the memory effects in synergy with thermal fluctuations greatly help to overcome the residual potential bumps on the way. Interestingly, even at the end of simulations (which take several days to run with double numerical precision and \( M = 10^5 \) particles in parallel on high-performance professional GPU processors, for one curve presented) the transport remains anomalously fast, \( \kappa \approx 1.1 \), in this case; see the inset of Fig. 4(a) for more details. The fit with \( v(t-t_{\text{off}}) \) (see, e.g., in the discussed inset for \( f = 0.940 \)) still underestimates the actual value of \( v \). In Fig. 4(b) we provide the nonlinear mobility based on such an estimate in the pertinent cases, where the normal transport regime was not possible to reach in numerics.

The results for mobility are depicted at \( T = 0.01 \) in Fig. 4(b) for three values of \( \gamma_{\alpha} \), including the memoryless case. For \( f \) smaller than \( f = 0.9 < f_c^{(3)} \), the influence of memory is negligible in this plot. Likewise, for a very large \( f \), the regime of linear mobility in the absence of potential, \( \kappa_0 = \gamma_0 = 0.5 \), is gradually achieved already for \( f \geq 2 \). However, near \( f_c^{(3)} \) the enhancement of mobility by the memory effects is tremendous. For \( \rho = \rho_f/2 \), at \( f = 0.94, \kappa = 0.2288, \) whereas without memory effects it is merely 0.009156. The boost of mobility is about 25 times! This is a very striking effect. Likewise, for \( \rho = \rho_f \), at \( f = 0.96, \kappa = 0.2283, \) whereas without memory effects it is merely 0.02524. The memory-caused increase is by an impressive nearly nine times.

Important to mention is that for \( T = 0.01 \) and \( f \) close to \( f_c^{(3)} \), the transport is, in fact, anomalously fast during the major period of simulations, as Fig. 5(a) reveals. First, \( \langle \delta x(t) \rangle \propto t^{1.43} \) for intermediate times after particles started to leave the potential well and move in the force direction. This supertransport regime lasts for \( t \) until about \( 2 \times 10^4 \). During this time, particles move over about 1000 potential periods. Notice that the transport power-law exponent \( \kappa_t = 1.43 \) is close to 1.5, which would correspond to the case of Stokes friction contribution ad hoc neglected [38]. One can state that the corresponding supertransport regime is manifested here in the presence of Stokes friction, which is an important result. Second, even at the end of the simulation, the corresponding power-law exponent still did not relax to unity being about 1.1. The corresponding estimates of the mean velocity, like the one shown in the inset of Fig. 5(a) for \( f = 0.94 \) underestimate, in fact, the corresponding value of \( v \) and the mobility in Fig. 4(b). Comparison with Fig. 5(b), where such a regime is absent, makes clear that this supertransport emerges due to hydrodynamic memory effects.

**Transport at higher temperature**

Finally, we provide the results on nonlinear mobility enhancement for a larger \( T = 0.1 \) or smaller \( U_0/(k_BT) = 10 \) in Fig. 4(d). The memory-induced increase of mobility becomes less impressive, and, nevertheless, it remains still significant. For example, in this figure, at \( f = 0.93, \kappa = 0.2106 \) for \( \rho = \rho_f/2, \kappa = 0.1882 \) for \( \rho = \rho_f, \) and \( \kappa = 0.1310 \) in the memoryless case. The enhancement is by 60.75% and 43.66% with respect to the memoryless case, correspondingly. It is not small at all.

We conclude that also at higher temperatures the memory effects can significantly boost both diffusion and transport in near-to-critically tilted periodic potentials over the case where such effects are neglected. Hydrodynamic memory can also suppress diffusion outside the resonance-like critical enhancement regime; see, e.g., in Fig. 4(a) for \( f > 1 \) and in Fig. 4(c) for \( f > 1.15 \) and \( f < 0.7 \). Similar suppression was earlier discussed in Ref. [18] for a weak friction case of \( \gamma_0 = 0.1 \). Transport is generally enhanced for \( f > f_c^{(3)} \); however, it can also be suppressed for \( f < f_c^{(3)} \)—see, e.g., in Fig. 4(d) for \( f < 0.8 \). In this respect, the case of moderately strong \( \gamma_0 = 2 \) considered here is different from the case of small \( \gamma_0 = 0.1 \) investigated in Ref. [18]. Our Fig. 1 explains why. In any case, influence of hydrodynamic memory on strongly nonequilibrium stochastic transport can be very significant even for moderately strong friction in the case of sufficiently light particles.

**IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, in this paper, we showed within a paradigmatic model of driven nonlinear Brownian transport and diffusion that hydrodynamic memory effects primarily neglected thus far in the theory of nonlinear Brownian motion could profoundly influence both diffusion and transport even for a relatively strong Stokes friction. First, enormous resonance-like enhancement of diffusion can occur for a potential tilt, which is subcritical for such a Stokes friction taken alone, where the pertinent overdamped theory [20] describes already very well the numerical results in the negligence of such memory effects. This memory-induced surplus enhancement can be very large for light particles, by several orders of magnitude, depending on temperature and the ratio \( \rho/\rho_f \), which measures strength of the memory effects. Second, transport also can be enhanced enormously at the corresponding resonance-like tilt. Third, the transient superdiffusive and supertransport regimes can last for a long time while covering thousands of lattice periods. Particles can become kinetically very hot in these anomalous regimes, with their kinetic temperature well above the temperature of the surrounding liquid. Fourth, even unfacilitated thermally equilibrium diffusion in periodic potentials exhibit novel features manifested by an inverse fractional dependence of the diffusion coefficient on the Stokes friction strength.

The experimental verification of these intriguing and highly surprising effects can be expected (cf. Appendix B) in less viscous liquids like liquid helium at \( T = 4 \text{ K} \) (above \( \lambda \) point, still a normal fluid), for hollow microparticles with tailored \( \rho \), which are trapped in optically created potentials [22], or even in more viscous yet more common fluids like diethyl ether for nanoparticles in nanoimprinted periodic potentials created by methods of lithography [49]. In such micro- and nanofluidic systems, the inertial effects in Brownian motion can become essential being greatly amplified by the hydrody-
namic memory effect, as this work showed. We expect that it will attract the interest of not only theoreticians but also experimental scientists and spark subsequent research work.
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APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL APPROACH

Numerical integration of FLE (1) is based on an approximation of the power-law scaling part of memory kernel by a sum of exponentials (a Prony series expansion) and hyper-dimensional Markovian embedding of underlying non-Markovian dynamics [18,38,44,52]. The method works very well and leads to results which often practically coincide within the numerical precision tolerance of 5%–6% (can be made better) with the analytical results available in case of linear dynamics. The memory kernel approximation reads [18,38]

$$\eta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i [2\delta(t) - \nu_i \exp(-\nu_i t)] ,$$  

(A1)

where $\eta_i = k_i/\nu_i$, $k_i = C_u(b)\eta_\mu v_i^{\alpha/\beta} / |\Gamma(1-\alpha)|$, and $\nu_i = v_i/b^{\beta-1}$. The sum of exponentials obeys a fractal scaling with a scaling parameter $b$. It approximates the power-law decay [44,52–54] of this memory kernel, so that $\int_0^\infty \eta_i(t) dt = 0$. The choice of $\nu_0$ is related to the time step of simulation $\Delta t$, which was $\Delta t = 0.002$ in most simulations. To avoid numerical instability, $\nu_0 \Delta t$ should be smaller than one.

The power-law regime extends in this approximation from a short time (high-frequency) cutoff, $\nu_i^{\beta-1}$, to a large time (small frequency) cutoff, $\tau_b = \nu_i^{\beta-1}$. The choice of $N$ is dictated by the maximal time $t_{\text{max}}$ of simulations: $\tau_b$ should exceed $t_{\text{max}}$ by at least several times. The accuracy of the approximation between two cutoffs is controlled by the scaling parameter $b > 1$. The smaller $b$, the better the accuracy. However, a larger $N$ is then required. With $b = 5$ and $C_u(b) = 1.78167$ [18,38] it is about 6% for $t$ between 0.05 and 10 for $\nu_0 = 100$ and $N = 13$. It can be slightly improved to 5% by choosing $C_u(b) = 1.816$, which is used in most simulations in this paper. When required, the discussed accuracy can drastically be improved to about 0.0003% between 0.07 and 10 for $\nu_0 = 100$ and $N = 38$ with $b = 2$ and $C_u(b) = 0.782134$. This choice would, however, also essentially increase the simulation time because of a much larger embedding dimension.

Since we are interested in reaching a maximal time range in computer simulations, we use the same embedding with $b = 5$ and $N = 13$ as earlier [18,38]. Even in this case, simulations are very time consuming. It takes several days to reach $t_{\text{max}} = 10^4$ on professional GPU processors (double-precision accuracy) required for the reason of a trivial parallelization: $M = 10^5$ independent Brownian particles (trajectories) were propagated in parallel for doing ensemble averaging. Sufficiently large $t_{\text{max}}$ is required given very long transient regimes. For the studied problem, in the neglect of memory effects, it suffices to use $\Delta t = 0.01$ in numerics [28] done here with the second-order stochastic Heun algorithm [55]. In the presence of memory effects, five times smaller $\Delta t = 0.002$ was sufficient.

For doing Markovian embedding, one introduces a set of $N$ auxiliary variables $u_i$ such that the corresponding embedding dynamics in the hyperspace of dimension $D = N + 2$ reads [18,38]

$$\dot{x}(t) = v(t),$$

$$m^* \ddot{v}(t) = f(x,t) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i(t) - (\eta_0 + \eta_\Sigma) v(t) + \xi_0(t) + \sqrt{2k_B T \eta_\Sigma} \xi_0(t),$$

$$\dot{u}_i(t) = - \nu_i v(t) - \nu_i u_i(t) + \sqrt{2k_B T \nu_i} \zeta_i(t),$$  

(A2)

for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, where $\eta_\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i$. Furthermore, $\xi_0(t)$ and $\zeta_i(t)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, are $N + 1$ delta-correlated in time and mutually uncorrelated white Gaussian noise sources of zero-mean and unit intensity, $(\zeta_i(t) \zeta_j(t')) = \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')$, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, $(\xi_0(t) \zeta_i(t')) = 0$. However, the noise $\xi_0(t)$ is chosen as a weighted, normalized sum of other $\zeta_i(t)$ [18,38],

$$\zeta_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\eta_i} \zeta_i(t).$$  

(A3)

The initial $u_i(0)$ are sampled as independent Gaussian variables with zero mean and correlations $(u_i(0) u_i(0)) = k_B T k_i \delta_{ij}$ [38,44,52]. Initially, particles were always prepared with their velocities Maxwell distributed at temperature $T$ and localized sharply at $x = 0$, which corresponds to the minimum of potential in the unbiased case $f = 0$. One assumes besides that $\nu = 0$ for $t < t_0 = 0$ in Eq. (1). This is a nonequilibrium initial preparation.

APPENDIX B: ESTIMATION OF PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

Here we address physical systems, where the studied effects can be revealed experimentally. The crucial issue here is a sufficiently small nondimensional $\tilde{\gamma}_0$, which can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\gamma}_0 = 6 \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2}} \frac{\rho_f}{R} \frac{x_0}{2 \rho + \rho_f} \theta,$$  

(B1)

where $\theta = \sqrt{\frac{R \rho_f}{\rho_0} \mu} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho_0 \rho_f}} \zeta$, with $\zeta = \rho_f \mu$ being the dynamic viscosity. The particles’ diameter should not be much larger than the potential period $L = 2\pi x_0$. For this reason, $x_0/R$ could hardly be much smaller than 0.1. For example, for Brownian particles in optical vortices in Ref. [22], $x_0 = 52.5$ nm and $R = 740$ nm. This yields $x_0/R \approx 0.0709$. To arrive at smallest $\tilde{\gamma}_0$, the strategy is hence to minimize $\theta$. The fluid with the lowest known dynamic and kinematic viscosity is liquid helium. At $T = 4$ K, its dynamic viscosity is $\zeta = 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa s [56]. With density $\rho_f = 125$ kg/m$^3$ this yields $\mu = 2.64 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^2$/s. Let us take $U_0 = 100 k_B T \approx$...
5.52 × 10⁻²¹ J. Then θ ≈ 3.417, and for ρ = ρ_f, we obtain ˜γ_0 ≈ 1.823, which is a bit smaller than γ_0 = 2 used in this paper. The corresponding time units in our simulations would be t_0 = 1.26 × 10⁻⁵ s in physical units. Hence, the maximal time t_{max} = 10⁸ in our simulations would correspond to about 12.6 s. This is interesting because the regimes of anomalous diffusion caused by hydrodynamic memory effects can reach the timescale of seconds. The values ˜γ_0 10 times smaller can be achieved if to downscale x_0 and R by a factor of 100, i.e., for nanoparticles of R ~ 7.4 nm. The corresponding periodic nanostructures to create, e.g., electrostatic periodic potentials for charged Brownian particles can be produced by nanolithography [49], and nanosized hollow particles with appropriate low mass densities can also be tailored [57]. Furthermore, for more common fluids like diethyl ether at T_r = 298 K, ζ = 0.224 × 10⁻³ Pa s and ρ = 713.4 kg/m³. For U_0 = 100 k_BT ≈ 4.114 × 10⁻¹⁹ J, R = 740 nm, x_0 = 52.5 nm we obtain θ ≈ 11.247, and for ρ = ρ_f, ˜γ_0 ≈ 6. Next, a ninefold reduction of both R and x_0 would yield ˜γ_0 ≈ 2. Hence, the study of inertial effects in Brownian motion, including hydrodynamic memory effects, should be experimentally feasible. Some significant experimental work in this direction was already done for parabolic potentials optically created [15–17]. The case of periodic potentials is, however, more challenging.

APPENDIX C: KINETIC HEATING AND VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION

In this Appendix, we discuss kinetic heating of Brownian particles, velocity distribution P(v, t) responsible for this kinetic heating, and their relation to enormous diffusion enhancement.

To begin, in the scaling of this work, renormalized mass m* = 1 and k_B = 1. Initially, particle velocities are Maxwell distributed, P(v, 0) = exp[−v²/(2T)]/√2πT with zero mean, ⟨v(0)⟩ = 0, and the variance ⟨Δv²(0)⟩ = v_T² = T. Here and in the following, δv(t) = v(t) − ⟨v(t)⟩. In the absence of periodic potential and for an arbitrary strong force f, P(v, t) after a transient time 1/γ_0 is Maxwell distributed around the mean value ⟨v⟩_M = f/γ_0. Doing the overdamped limit at strong friction γ_0 ≫ 1, one assumes that velocity distribution remains Maxwellian (shifted by ⟨v⟩) at the same temperature T and excludes the velocity variable from the further consideration. This assumption becomes questionable in the case of nonlinear driven dynamics even for a sufficiently large but finite γ_0. At first look, dynamics in considered tilted washboard potentials can become close to the overdamped case already for γ_0 > γ_0(0) = 1.93, as the Risken’s phase diagram might suggest; see the memoryless case in Fig. 1. Indeed, following this diagram, the only critical force, which seems relevant above γ_0(0), is f_c⁽¹⁾ = 1. For γ_0 > γ_0(0) and f < f_c⁽¹⁾ at T = 0 any particle will be eventually trapped in a potential well, whereas at f > f_c⁽¹⁾ it will be running. For γ_0 < γ_0(0) at f < f_c⁽³⁾ and T = 0 all particles are eventually trapped, whereas for f > f_c⁽³⁾ the running solutions appear. Risken defined an effective potential for a particle using its total energy as a variable; see Ch. 11.6 in Ref. [7]. It displays bistability at f_c⁽³⁾ < f < f_c⁽¹⁾ (in our notations), and at some critical force f_c⁽²⁾, both minima of that effective potential become equal. In Refs. [26,27], a velocity pseudopotential, V(v) = −k_BT ln[P(v)], was considered instead of the Risken’s potential, where P(v) is the velocity distribution. It turns out to be also bistable for sufficiently small friction. One minimum at v_1 = 0 corresponds to the trapped particles, with velocity being Maxwell distributed around this minimum, and another minimum is located at v_2 = f/γ_0, with velocity also Maxwell distributed around v_2. A biparabolic velocity pseudopotential with cusp at intersection of two parabolas provides a reason-
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FIG. 6. Kinetic temperature defined as T_k(t) = ⟨dx²(t)⟩/k_B T in the scaled units vs time at T = 0.01 for γ_0 = 2, (a) γ_0 = 3 (ρ = ρ_f/2) and (b) γ_0 = 0 (normal diffusion) at several values of tilting force f shown in plots. Initially, T_k(0) = T. The particles can first slightly cool down, when they start equilibrating being localized initially in a potential well. Then they arrive again at T during this equilibration process and start to drastically heat up, when they leave the potential well, for a sufficiently large f and t. In the case of normal diffusion, T_k(t) arrives at a stationary nonequilibrium value T_k⁽⁰⁾ already for t > 100. For f < 0.9, the heating effect is almost negligible. However, the particles can become kinetically very hot even in the absence of memory effects. For example, at the critical tilt f = 1.00 in panel (b) they are 4.5 times kinetically hotter than their surroundings. For strong memory effects in panel (a), the maximal T_k⁽⁴⁾ ≈ 4.76 T is arrived at f = 0.94 in this panel. Then, with a further increasing f, T_k⁽⁴⁾ gradually diminishes until it reaches T for a very large f. However, in the memoryless case, T_k⁽⁴⁾ dramatically increases further with f (see for f = 1.1) until about f = 1.5 (not shown) and only then gradually drops. This puzzling regime is left for a separate study. M = 10⁸ particles are used for the ensemble averaging.
able approximation to $V(v)$ [26,27]. This picture of velocity bistability remains valid, upon some modifications, also in the presence of hydrodynamic memory effects for $\gamma_0 = 0.1$ in Ref. [18].

However, this simple picture breaks down even in the absence of memory effects for sufficiently strong friction exceeding (about) $\gamma_0 \approx 0.25$; see the Supplemental Material [41] of Ref. [18], which was also confirmed quite recently in Ref. [42]. The numerical simulations reveal that already for $\gamma_0 = 0.3$, the velocity distribution can be three modal, see Fig. 7(a) in the Supplemental Material [41] of Ref. [18] and, especially, panel (c) therein, for $\gamma_0 = 0.7$. The running state consists, in fact, of two velocity substates with $P(v)$ maxima at $v_1^{(1)}$ and $v_1^{(2)}$ such that $v_1^{(1)} < v_2 = f/\gamma_0 < v_2^{(2)}$. Moreover, $v_2$ corresponds to the minimum (!) and not maximum of $P(v)$, as a bistable picture of $V(v)$ [26,27], valid only for sufficiently small $\gamma_0$ [18], can misleadingly imply. For $f \geq f_0^{(1)}$, $P(v)$ becomes bimodal in such a memoryless case because of the minimum at $v_1 = 0$, which corresponds to the trapped particles, disappears; see panel (d) in the discussed figure. These earlier overlooked features are important to understand the results of this work. The velocity distribution $P(v)$ can be bimodal even for not too large $\gamma_0$, well above the critical force $f_0^{(1)}$ (until some very large $f$), when the tilted washboard potential does not have anymore some minima and maxima at all. It is a great surprise overlooked until recently.

Generally, $P(v,t)$ is time dependent for the considered nonlinear stochastic dynamics. For a sufficiently large time, a stationary distribution $P_\infty(v) = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(v,t)$ will be attained. However, this limit is not always possible to reach in our numerics, especially in the presence of memory effects. Although the memory effects do not affect $P_\infty(v)$ in the case of linear dynamics, where it remains Maxwellian, they generally essentially influence both $P(v,t)$ and $P_\infty(v)$ in the case of driven nonlinear dynamics considered.

The emerging very broad $P(v,t)$ velocity distribution, which is profoundly different from the Maxwell distribution, means that particles become kinetically very hot. The kinetic temperature is commonly characterized by the velocity variance $\langle \delta v^2(t) \rangle$ such that $T_k(t) = \langle \delta v^2(t) \rangle$ [26,36–38]. For an equilibrium Maxwell distribution, $T_k(t) = T$. It is so initially in Fig. 6. As everywhere else in this paper, the particles initially are localized at $x = 0$, which is a nonequilibrium distribution within the potential well. During the initial equilibration they are first slightly cooled, and then heated up to $T$ again. A very interesting phenomenon occurs when the particles diffuse out of the initial potential well. Then they can be heated up to some $T_k(t) \gg T$, reaching finally a stationary value $T_k^{(st)}$. If $f$ is far below $f_0^{(1)}$, $T_k^{(st)} = T$, as expected. For example, for $f = 0.90$ in Fig. 7(a), $P_a(v)$ is still practically Maxwellian, and no kinetic heating occurs. However, already for $f = f_0^{(3)} = 0.92$ in Fig. 6(a), $T_k^{(st)} / T \approx 1.15$, i.e., $T_k$ is enhanced by about 15% over $T$. In the absence of memory effects, in Fig. 6(b), the enhancement is somewhat smaller: 10% only. The deviation from $T$ is still sufficiently small, in both cases. The onset of $P_a(v)$ bistability is clearly seen in Fig. 7 for $f = 0.92$, also in the absence of memory effects.; cf. Fig. 7(b). However, already for $f = 0.93$, the kinetic temperature increases by ca. 70% in Fig. 6(a) versus 21% in Fig. 6(b). Astoundingly, a tiny further increase of force to $f = 0.94$ boosts $T_k^{(st)}$ to about $T_k^{(st)} = 4.76 T$ in Fig. 6(a), which is the maximal stationary value therein. This sharp increase should be contrasted with a still small increase in the memoryless case in Fig. 6(b). Hence, the discussed sharp increase is caused by the memory effects indeed. With a further increase of $f$, $T_k^{(st)}$ gradually diminishes, and for a very large force it finally drops down to $T$ again (not shown). The force range, where the Brownian particles become hot under constant driving, is surprisingly large. It must be mentioned also that for $f = f_0^{(3)} = 0.937$ and $f = 0.938$, $T_k(t)$ still did not reach the stationary value $T_k^{(st)}$ in Fig. 6(a). The corresponding distribution $P(v)$ for $f = 0.937$ in Fig. 7(a) is still not stationary. This still increasing $T_k(t)$ in Fig. 6 correlates with transient superdiffusion, which lasts until the end of simulations in these cases; see Fig. 3(a). Maximum of the corresponding asymptotic diffusion coefficient estimated in Fig. 4(a) indeed seems to be associated with the maximum of $T_k^{(st)}$ versus $f$, as in the case of small $\gamma_0$ [18]. However, here some profound warnings are due. First, even if $T_k(t)$ is already saturated for $f = 0.94$ in the discussed case [cf. Fig. 6(a)]
the corresponding diffusional behavior in Fig. 3(a) is still transient and, unexpectedly, displays subdiffusion. For a much smaller $\gamma_0 = 0.1$ in Ref. [18] the emergence of such a transient subdiffusion regime was connected with the regime like the one for $f = 0.95$ in Fig. 6(a), when $T_k(t)$ drops gradually to $T_k^{(st)}$ after reaching a maximum. Indeed, also for this case, a transient subdiffusive behavior is still detectable (not shown).

However, already for $f = 1$, one cannot find such a regime, even if the corresponding nonmonotonous behavior of $T_k(t)$ in Fig. 6(a) might imply it. It becomes simply too short to be detectable. Second, in the memoryless case, the maximum of $T_k^{(st)}$ does not correspond to the maximum of $D$. Indeed, in Fig. 6(b), $T_k^{(st)}$ is the largest for $f = 1.1$ and not for $f = 1.0$, which corresponds to the maximum of $D$ in Fig. 4(a). In other words, an increase of $f$ beyond $f_1^{(st)}$ in the memoryless case leads to a further increase of $T_k^{(st)}$ [cf. Fig. 6(b)], whereas $D$ already starts to diminish; cf. Fig. 4(a). Here a fascinating phenomenon emerges, which seems to have been completely overlooked thus far and which we refer to a separate study. Namely, the stationary velocity autocorrelation function (VACF) starts, to our great surprise, to rapidly oscillate in time (not shown). These oscillations correlate with transient $T_k(t)$ oscillations like the ones for $f = 1.1$ in Fig. 6(b), which are also quite surprising. Since the diffusion coefficient is integral of VACF $[11,45]$, $D$ declines with $f$ despite $T_k^{(st)}$ still growing. This doubly unusual phenomenon indicating that the inertial effects can remain significant for appreciably large $\gamma_0$, even in the absence of hydrodynamic memory effects, will be studied in a separate work. It is especially striking and surprising because the diffusion enhancement in this work is already well described by the results of overdamped theory in the corresponding case.

The behavior of $P(v)$ in Fig. 7 also deserves a separate discussion. Notice that at $f = 0.90$ in Fig. 7(a), $P(v)$ is still pretty well described by the equilibrium Maxwell distribution, with the center, which is still practically not shifted. For $f = 0.92$, the emerging velocity bistability becomes perspicuous. However, the first maximum is still centered at $v = 0$ corresponding to the trapped states. Nevertheless, already for $f = 0.93$, this maximum shifts slightly to the running states, indicating that for a finite temperature, the trapped states are destabilized by thermal fluctuations for $f > f_1^{(st)}$ in the case considered, which is a remarkable feature. Likewise, already at $f = 1$ in the case of memoryless diffusion, the first maximum of $P(v)$ shifts to the running states; cf. Fig. 7(b). One might expect it because, at $f = 1$, the potential minima vanish overall. However, such a distinct shift at $f = 0.937$ in Fig. 7(a) is quite surprising.

HYDRODYNAMIC MEMORY CAN BOOST ENORMOUSLY …


